UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KRISTI C. OLIVAS,

VS.

RECONTRUST COMPANY, N.A., MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 11CV1331-LAB (NLS)

ORDER DENYING EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR NOTICE OF LIS PENDENS

On July 28, the Court accepted by discrepancy order a request from Plaintiff asking that the Court approve a notice of *lis pendens* (*i.e.*, notice of pendency of action) so that Plaintiff could record it. Because Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, approval by the Court is required before the notice can be recorded. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 405.21. Defendants then filed a response in opposition pointing out that this action does not present a "real property claim" as defined in Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 405.4, because it seeks monetary damages only, and does not affect title or right to possession of real property.

Assuming Plaintiff prevails in this action, she will be entitled to monetary damages, but the title or possessory rights of real property would be unaffected. Section 405.4 also permits *lis pendens* notices where the causes of action, if meritorious, would affect use of ///

- 1 - 11CV1331

an easement, but that is not implicated here either. Defendants are therefore correct that no "real property claim," as defined in § 405.4, is presented here.

Because the complaint does not raise a real property claim, meritorious or otherwise, no notice of *lis pendens* is appropriate. See Cal. Civ. Proc. Code §§ 405.2 (defining notice of *lis pendens*, as "a notice of the pendency of an action in which a real property claim is alleged."); 405.20 ("A party to an action who asserts a real property claim may record a notice of pendency of action in which that real property claim is alleged.") The *ex parte* application (Docket no. 12) is therefore **DENIED**.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August 5, 2011

Honorable Larry Alan Burns United States District Judge

am A Bunn

- 2 - 11CV1331